inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Lithium manganese(II) diaguaborophosphate monohydrate

Rong-Chuan Zhuang,^a Xue-Yun Chen^b and Jin-Xiao Mi^{a*}

^aDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Materials, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemical Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jxmi@xmu.edu.cn

Received 23 May 2008; accepted 23 June 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (Mn–O) = 0.004 Å; Hatom completeness 67%; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.097: data-to-parameter ratio = 14.5

The title compound, $LiMn(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8] \cdot H_2O$, is built up of an open framework of helical borophosphate ribbons interconnected by MnO₄(H₂O)₂ octahedra, forming one-dimensional channels along [001] occupied by Li⁺ cations and disordered H₂O molecules (site occupancy 0.5). The Li cations reside in two partially occupied sites [occupancies = 0.42 (3) and 0.289 (13)] near the helices.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Boy & Kniep (2001a,b) for $LiCu(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8] \cdot (H_2O)$ and $LiZn(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8] \cdot H_2O$; Ge et al. (2003) for LiCd(H₂O)₂[BP₂O₈]·H₂O; Lin et al. (2008) for $LiMg(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8] \cdot H_2O$. For related literature, see: Ewald et al. (2006); Kniep et al. (1997).

Experimental

Crystal data

LiMn(H₂O)₂[BP₂O₈]·H₂O $M_r = 316.68$ Hexagonal, P6522 a = 9.5765 (4) Å c = 15.857 (1) ÅV = 1259.4 (1) Å³

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7 CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.740, \ T_{\max} = 0.795$

Z = 6Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 (2) K $0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.12~\text{mm}$

9731 measured reflections 1230 independent reflections 1223 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int}=0.032$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	Only H-atom coordinates refined
$wR(F^2) = 0.097$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.61 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.19	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1230 reflections	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
85 parameters	443 Friedel pairs
1 restraint	Flack parameter: -0.01 (4)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Mn1-O4 ⁱ	2.133 (3)	B1-O1 ^{iv}	1.463 (4)
Mn1-O3	2.139 (3)	B1-O2 ⁱⁱ	1.470 (4)
Mn1-O5	2.311 (4)	Li1-O5 ⁱⁱ	2.111 (4)
P1-O3	1.504 (3)	Li1-O3 ⁱⁱ	2.112 (17)
P1-O4	1.510 (3)	Li2-O6 ^v	1.95 (3)
$P1-O1^{ii}$	1.553 (3)	Li2-O6 ^{vi}	1.98 (3)
$P1-O2^{iii}$	1.560 (2)	Li2-O4 ^v	2.11 (3)
O5-H1	0.82 (7)	Li2-O5 ^{vii}	2.18 (3)
O5-H2	0.81 (2)		
B1 ^{viii} -O1-P1 ⁱⁱ	129.4 (2)	P1-O3-Mn1	128.38 (17)
$B1-O2-P1^{ix}$	131.1 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $y, -x + y + 1, z + \frac{1}{6}$; (ii) $-y + 1, -x + 1, -z + \frac{1}{6}$; (iii) x - y, -y + 1, -z; (iv) $-y + 1, -x, -z + \frac{1}{6};$ (v) $-x + y + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (vi) $y, x, -z + \frac{2}{3};$ (vii) $y, -x + y, z + \frac{1}{6}$; (viii) x - 1, y, z; (ix) x - y + 1, -y + 1, -z.

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O5-H1\cdots O4^{x}$ $O5-H2\cdots O2^{i}$	0.83 (7) 0.81 (4)	2.09 (7) 2.09 (5)	2.878 (5) 2.845 (5)	159.80 155.74
6 (')		1. ()	. 1	

Symmetry codes: (i) $y, -x + y + 1, z + \frac{1}{6}$; (x) $-x + y, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2005) and ATOMS (Dowty, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This project was supported by a fund from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 40472027).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: MG2052).

References

Boy, I. & Kniep, R. (2001a). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 7-8. Boy, I. & Kniep, R. (2001b). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 9-10. Brandenburg, K. (2005). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Dowty, E. (2004). ATOMS. Shape Software, Kingsport, Tennessee, USA. Ewald, B., Prots, Yu., Kudla, C., Grünner, D., Cardoso-Gil, R. & Kniep, R.

(2006). Chem. Mater. 18, 673-679. Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Ge, M.-H., Mi, J.-X., Huang, Y.-X., Zhao, J.-T. & Kniep, R. (2003). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 218, 273-274.

Kniep, R., Will, H.-G., Boy, I. & Röhr, C. (1997). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36, 1013-1014.

Lin, J.-R., Huang, Y.-X., Wu, Y.-H. & Zhou, Y. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, i39-i40. Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, i46 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808018898]

Lithium manganese(II) diaquaborophosphate monohydrate

R.-C. Zhuang, X.-Y. Chen and J.-X. Mi

Comment

A large family of compounds contains helical borophosphate anions ${}_{\infty}{}^{1}[BP_{2}O_{8}]^{3}$ with various combinations of metal cations $(M^{I}M^{II}, M_{0.5}{}^{I}M^{II}, M^{III})$ (Kniep *et al.*, 1997; Ewald *et al.*, 2006). To date, the only Li-containing members are $LiM^{II}(H_{2}O)_{2}[BP_{2}O_{8}]$ ·H₂O $(M^{II} = Cu, Zn, Cd, Mg)$ (Boy & Kniep, 2001a, 2001b; Ge *et al.*, 2003; Lin *et al.*, 2008). The structure of LiMn(H₂O)₂[BP₂O₈]·H₂O is reported here.

The borophosphate helices, built up of four-membered rings of alternating BO₄ and PO₄ tetrahedra, extend along the 6_5 screw axis (Fig. 1 and 2). These helices are interconnected by Jahn-Teller-distorted Mn²⁺-centred octahedra, with four oxygen atoms (O3, O4) from PO₄ groups and two (O5) from water molecules at the vertices (Fig. 3). Unlike the compounds containing Cu and Zn (Boy & Kniep, 2001a, 2001b) but similar to those containing Cd and Mg (Ge *et al.*, 2003; Lin *et al.*, 2008), there are two distinct Li sites: Li1 is close to the outer wall of the borophosphate helices and Li2 is situated at the free loops (inner wall) of the helices. The sum of occupancies of these Li sites refines to almost unity, as required to maintain charge neutrality in the compound.

Experimental

 $LiMn(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8].H_2O$ was obtained in the presence of boric acid as a flux. A mixture of 0.1149 g MnCO₃, 1.484 g H₃BO₃, and 0.6235 g LiH₂PO₄ was ground to a homogeneous powder, which was transferred to a teflon autoclave with 10 ml inline (degree of filling 10%) where it was heated at 443 K for four days.

Refinement

The hydrogen atoms connected to O5 were located from difference Fourier maps with displacement parameters fixed as 1.2*U(O5), whereas those connected to O6 belonging to the disordered water molecules were not located. The sum of the occupancies of Li sites was restrained to maintain charge neutrality within the entire compound. The occupancy of the O6 site associated with the disordered water molecules was fixed at 0.5.

Figures



Fig. 1. Linkage of borophosphate helices in LiMn(H₂O)₂[BP₂O₈][·]H₂O through MnO₄(H₂O)₂ octahedra (BO₄, green tetrahedra; PO₄, orange tetrahedra; MnO₆, violet octahedra; Li, black spheres; H₂O, red spheres).



Fig. 2. Section of $LiMn(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8]$ ·H₂O viewed along the *c* axis (colour scheme as in Fig. 1).



Fig. 3. Coordination environment of Mn, B, and P atoms, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level (symmetry codes: (i) -x+y, y, 1/2-z; (ii) 1-x, 1-x+y, 1/3-z; (iii) y,1-x+y, 1/6+z; (iv) 1-y,1-x, 1/6-z; (v) x-y, x,-1/6+z; (vi) 1+x-y, 1-y,-z, (vii) x-y, 1-y,-z; (viii) 1+x-y,1+x,-1/6+z).

Lithium manganese diaquaborophosphate monohydrate

Crystal data

LiMn(H₂O)₂[BP₂O₈]·H₂O $M_r = 316.68$ Hexagonal, $P6_522$ Hall symbol: P 65 2 (0 0 1) a = 9.5765 (4) Å c = 15.857 (1) Å V = 1259.4 (1) Å³ Z = 6F(000) = 942

$D_{\rm x} = 2.505 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 6263 reflections
$\theta = 2.5 - 33.2^{\circ}$
$\mu = 2.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 295 K
Hexagonal bipyramid, pale pink
$0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7 CCD diffractometer	1230 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1223 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
Detector resolution: 14.6306 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.5^{\circ}$

thin–slice $\Delta \phi$ =0.6 & $\Delta \omega$ =0.6 scans	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -13 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.740, \ T_{\max} = 0.795$	$l = -19 \rightarrow 22$
9731 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Only H-atom coordinates refined
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.008P)^{2} + 5.1269P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.097$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
<i>S</i> = 1.19	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.62 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1230 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
85 parameters	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc [*] =kFc[1+0.001xFc ² λ^3 /sin(20)] ^{-1/4}
1 restraint	Extinction coefficient: 0.0054 (19)
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 443 Friedel pairs
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Flack parameter: -0.01 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Mn1	0.44888 (4)	0.89775 (9)	0.2500	0.0163 (2)	
P1	0.61636 (10)	0.83327 (10)	0.08453 (6)	0.0134 (2)	
01	0.0204 (3)	0.2129 (3)	0.06593 (16)	0.0181 (5)	
O2	0.7681 (3)	0.1804 (3)	0.01267 (14)	0.0158 (5)	
O3	0.4860 (3)	0.8589 (4)	0.12112 (17)	0.0227 (6)	
O4	0.6237 (4)	0.6903 (3)	0.11938 (17)	0.0228 (6)	
05	0.1884 (4)	0.7081 (4)	0.2127 (2)	0.0340 (8)	
O6	0.9000 (19)	0.8166 (12)	0.2717 (7)	0.079 (3)*	0.50
B1	0.8493 (3)	0.1507 (3)	0.0833	0.0140 (9)	
Li1	0.2428 (18)	0.7572 (18)	0.0833	0.034 (4)	0.42 (3)
Li2	0.899 (4)	0.763 (3)	0.3479 (16)	0.034 (4)	0.289 (13)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

supplementary materials

H1	0.133 (8)	0.683 (7)	0.256 (4)	0.041*
H2	0.179 (7)	0.620 (4)	0.218 (4)	0.041*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mn1	0.0170 (3)	0.0165 (4)	0.0153 (3)	0.00826 (18)	0.0028 (2)	0.000
P1	0.0153 (4)	0.0131 (4)	0.0113 (3)	0.0068 (3)	0.0011 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
01	0.0139 (11)	0.0196 (12)	0.0200 (12)	0.0077 (10)	-0.0012 (9)	-0.0060 (9)
O2	0.0195 (12)	0.0185 (11)	0.0106 (9)	0.0104 (10)	-0.0029 (9)	-0.0024 (8)
03	0.0222 (14)	0.0306 (15)	0.0177 (12)	0.0151 (12)	0.0028 (10)	-0.0046 (11)
O4	0.0348 (16)	0.0150 (12)	0.0177 (11)	0.0117 (11)	-0.0008 (12)	0.0022 (10)
05	0.0280 (17)	0.0233 (15)	0.0393 (17)	0.0041 (13)	0.0118 (14)	-0.0065 (14)
B1	0.0157 (17)	0.0157 (17)	0.011 (2)	0.0083 (19)	0.0013 (16)	0.0013 (16)
Li1	0.036 (7)	0.036 (7)	0.023 (8)	0.012 (8)	0.003 (6)	0.003 (6)
Li2	0.036 (7)	0.036 (7)	0.023 (8)	0.012 (8)	0.003 (6)	0.003 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mn1—O4 ⁱ	2.133 (3)	O5—H1	0.82 (7)
Mn1—O4 ⁱⁱ	2.133 (3)	O5—H2	0.81 (2)
Mn1—O3	2.139 (3)	O6—O6 ^{ix}	0.71 (2)
Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.139 (3)	06—Li2	1.31 (3)
Mn1—O5	2.311 (4)	O6—Li2 ^{ix}	1.95 (3)
Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.311 (3)	O6—Li2 ^{xii}	1.98 (3)
P1—O3	1.504 (3)	O6—Li2 ^x	2.45 (3)
P1—O4	1.510 (3)	O6—Li1 ^{xiii}	2.53 (3)
P1—O1 ^{iv}	1.553 (3)	B1—O1 ^{xiv}	1.463 (4)
P1—O2 ^v	1.560 (2)	B1—O1 ^{xv}	1.463 (4)
O1—B1 ^{vi}	1.463 (4)	B1—O2 ^{iv}	1.470 (4)
O1—P1 ^{iv}	1.553 (3)	Li1—O5 ^{iv}	2.111 (4)
O1—Li2 ^{vii}	2.65 (3)	Li1—O3 ^{iv}	2.112 (17)
O2—B1	1.470 (4)	Li2—O6 ^{ix}	1.95 (3)
O2—P1 ^{viii}	1.560 (2)	Li2—O6 ^{xii}	1.98 (3)
O3—Li1	2.112 (17)	Li2—O4 ^{ix}	2.11 (3)
O4—Li2 ^{ix}	2.11 (3)	Li2—O5 ^{xiii}	2.18 (3)
O4—Mn1 ^x	2.133 (3)	Li2—Li2 ^{xii}	2.30 (6)
O5—Li1	2.111 (4)	Li2—O6 ⁱ	2.45 (3)
O5—Li2 ^{xi}	2.18 (3)		
O4 ⁱ —Mn1—O4 ⁱⁱ	97.89 (17)	O2—B1—O2 ^{iv}	102.6 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Mn1—O3	100.17 (11)	O5 ^{iv} —Li1—O5	177.6 (17)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3	91.22 (11)	O5 ^{iv} —Li1—O3 ^{iv}	85.4 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	91.22 (11)	O5—Li1—O3 ^{iv}	96.0 (5)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	100.17 (11)	O5 ^{iv} —Li1—O3	96.0 (5)

O3—Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	162.68 (17)	O5—Li1—O3	85.4 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Mn1—O5	178.14 (13)	O3 ^{iv} —Li1—O3	112.6 (14)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O5	83.95 (13)	O5 ^{iv} —Li1—O6 ⁱⁱ	80.8 (8)
O3—Mn1—O5	80.01 (12)	O5—Li1—O6 ⁱⁱ	96.8 (9)
O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —Mn1—O5	88.19 (12)	O3 ^{iv} —Li1—O6 ⁱⁱ	122.4 (7)
O4 ⁱ —Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	83.95 (13)	O3—Li1—O6 ⁱⁱ	124.3 (8)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	178.14 (14)	O5 ^{iv} —Li1—O6 ^{xi}	96.8 (9)
O3—Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	88.19 (12)	O5—Li1—O6 ^{xi}	80.8 (8)
O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	80.01 (12)	O3 ^{iv} —Li1—O6 ^{xi}	124.3 (8)
O5—Mn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	94.2 (2)	O3—Li1—O6 ^{xi}	122.4 (7)
O3—P1—O4	115.17 (17)	O6 ⁱⁱ —Li1—O6 ^{xi}	16.0 (5)
O3—P1—O1 ^{iv}	111.97 (16)	O6—Li2—O6 ^{ix}	10.8 (10)
O4—P1—O1 ^{iv}	104.62 (16)	O6—Li2—O6 ^{xii}	90.8 (17)
$O3$ — $P1$ — $O2^{v}$	105.62 (15)	O6 ^{ix} —Li2—O6 ^{xii}	101.7 (15)
$O4$ — $P1$ — $O2^{v}$	111.81 (15)	O6—Li2—O4 ^{ix}	119.9 (19)
$O1^{iv}$ —P1— $O2^{v}$	107.54 (14)	O6 ^{ix} —Li2—O4 ^{ix}	110.3 (14)
B1 ^{vi} —O1—P1 ^{iv}	129.4 (2)	O6 ^{xii} —Li2—O4 ^{ix}	138.2 (14)
B1—O2—P1 ^{viii}	131.1 (2)	O6—Li2—O5 ^{xiii}	117.8 (18)
P1—O3—Mn1	128.38 (17)	O6 ^{ix} —Li2—O5 ^{xiii}	114.8 (14)
Li1—O5—H1	157 (4)	O6 ^{xii} —Li2—O5 ^{xiii}	102.8 (13)
Li2 ^{xi} —O5—H1	93 (4)	O4 ^{ix} —Li2—O5 ^{xiii}	87.8 (11)
Mn1—O5—H1	107 (4)	O6—Li2—O6 ⁱ	104.2 (18)
Li1—O5—H2	103 (4)	O6 ^{ix} —Li2—O6 ⁱ	115.0 (14)
Li2 ^{xi} —O5—H2	162 (4)	O6 ^{xii} —Li2—O6 ⁱ	13.8 (7)
Mn1—O5—H2	107 (4)	O4 ^{ix} —Li2—O6 ⁱ	125.5 (12)
H1—O5—H2	84 (5)	O5 ^{xiii} —Li2—O6 ⁱ	99.1 (11)
Li2—O6—Li2 ^{ix}	146 (2)	O6—Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	100.4 (16)
O1 ^{xiv} —B1—O1 ^{xv}	103.7 (4)	O6 ^{ix} —Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	100.1 (12)
O1 ^{xiv} —B1—O2	113.70 (14)	O6 ^{xii} —Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	89.1 (11)
O1 ^{xv} —B1—O2	111.75 (14)	O4 ^{ix} —Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	59.9 (8)
$O1^{xiv}$ —B1— $O2^{iv}$	111.75 (14)	O5 ^{xiii} —Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	139.4 (13)
$O1^{xv}$ —B1— $O2^{iv}$	113.70 (14)	O6 ⁱ —Li2—O1 ^{xvi}	83.4 (9)

Symmetry codes: (i) *y*, -*x*+*y*+1, *z*+1/6; (ii) -*x*+1, -*x*+*y*+1, -*z*+1/3; (iii) -*x*+*y*, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (iv) -*y*+1, -*x*+1, -*z*+1/6; (v) *x*-*y*, -*y*+1, -*z*; (vi) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (vii) -*y*+1, *x*-*y*, *z*-1/3; (viii) *x*-*y*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (ix) -*x*+*y*+1, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (x) *x*-*y*+1, *x*, *z*-1/6; (xi) *x*-*y*, *x*, *z*-1/6; (xii) *y*, *x*, -*z*+2/3; (xiii) *y*, -*x*+*y*, *z*+1/6; (xiv) -*y*+1, -*x*, -*z*+1/6; (xv) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (xvi) -*x*+*y*+1, -*x*+1, *z*+1/3.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D -\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$
O5—H1···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83 (7)	2.09 (7)	2.878 (5)	159.80
O5—H2···O2 ⁱ	0.81 (4)	2.09 (5)	2.845 (5)	156.

Symmetry codes: (iii) -x+y, y, -z+1/2; (i) y, -x+y+1, z+1/6.

Fig. 1







